# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Antwone Rodgers

**CIVIL ACTION** 

ν.		:				
Abington Township and NO.						
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev	a copy on all deferent that a defent that a defent all, with its first	endants. (S dant does appearance agement Tr	Reduction Plan of this court, counsely nation Form in all civil cases at the time ee § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revenot agree with the plaintiff regarding e, submit to the clerk of court and servack Designation Form specifying the timed.	erse said e on		
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CA	SE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)						
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X)						
July 31, 2025  Date	Jeffrey B. Sol		Antwone Rodgers  Attorney for			
215-561-0877	661-0877 <u>215-561-3557</u> <u>jsolomon@dionsolom</u>					
Telephone	elephone FAX Numl		E-Mail Address			
(Ciu 660) 10/02						

(Civ. 660) 10/02



10/2024

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### DESIGNATION FORM

Place of Accident, Incident, or Transaction:	Abington Senior High School 900 Highland Avenue, Abington, PA 1900	1
riace of Accident, including of		

RELATE	ED CASE IF ANY: Case Number: Judge:_					
1. 1	1. Does this case involve property included in an earlier numbered suit?					
2.	Yes					
	Yes Viting with Stigmoment of a patent which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit?					
4.	4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus petition, social security appeal, or pro se case filed by the same Yes individual?					
	5. Is this case related to an earlier numbered suit even though none of the above categories apply?  Yes  If we attach an explanation.					
I certify t	that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the within case is / knis court.	is not related to any pending or previously terminated				
Ciril Life	gation Categories					
		B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
I certify beyond (federal)	1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts)  2. FELA  3. Jones Act-Personal Injury  4. Antitrust  5. Wage and Hour Class Action/Collective Action  6. Patent  7. Copyright/Trademark  8. Employment  9. Labor-Management Relations  10. Civil Rights  11. Habeas Corpus  12. Securities Cases  13. Social Security Review Cases  14. Qui Tam Cases  15. Cases Seeking Systemic Relief *see certification below*  16. All Other Federal Question Cases. (Please specify):  that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the remedy sought in the parties before the court and  does / does not seek to bar or may including a rule, regulation, policy, or order of the executive branch and/or any form of injunctive relief.	this case does / does not have implications				
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (CHECK O	ONLY ONE BOX BELOW)				
X	that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2(3), this case is not eligible for arbitration eit amages sought are in excess of \$150,000 exclusive of interest and costs; (3) it is of a right secured by the U.S. Constitution, or (4) jurisdiction is based in whole					
	None of the restrictions in Local Civil Rule 53.2 apply and this case is eligible	e for arbitration.				
NOTE	trial de novo will be by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANTWONE RODGERS	12	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
1460 Sandys Lane	:	
North Wales, PA 19454		CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	<b>\$</b>	
	:	
ABINGTON TOWNSHIP		No
1176 Old York Road	:	
Abington, PA 19001	3	
and	*	
JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS 1-10		
1166 Old York Road		
Abington, PA 19001	:	
Defendants.		

#### CIVIL COMPLAINT

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil rights and negligence action arising under the Constitution and laws of the United States and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff Antwone Rodgers, while coaching at Abington Senior High School in the course of his employment, was struck by a live bullet discharged from a nearby police gun range operated by the Abington Township Police Department. Plaintiff suffered physical injuries and emotional trauma as a result of the Defendants' reckless and unconstitutional conduct.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(3) for claims arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for state law claims.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the events giving rise to this action occurred in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

#### III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Antwone Rodgers is an adult individual residing at 1460 Sandys Lane, North Wales, PA 19454-2210. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed as an assistant football coach at Abington Senior High School.
- 5. Defendant Abington Township is a municipal corporation responsible for the operation and supervision of its police department and its gun range at 2200 Florey Lane, Abington, PA 19001.
- 6. Defendants John Doe Police Officers 1–10 are currently unidentified members of the Abington Township Police Department who were responsible for the negligent discharge of a firearm from the gun range on August 7, 2023, and/or for the supervision and safety protocols at the range.

#### IV. OPERATIVE FACTS

- 7. On August 7, 2023, at approximately 10:30 a.m., Plaintiff was coaching football practice on the field hockey field at Abington Senior High School, located at 900 Highland Ave, Abington, PA 19001.
- 8. The school's athletic field is located in close proximity to the Abington Township Police Department's gun range at 2200 Florey Lane.
- 9. During practice, Plaintiff and the football team heard active gunfire from the police range.
- 10. Suddenly and without warning, Plaintiff felt an intense burning and pain in his right wrist and forearm. He looked down and observed a bullet on the ground in front of him.

- 11. Plaintiff picked up the bullet, which was still hot to the touch, and immediately reported the incident to the head football coach, Terence Tolbert, who cleared the field and contacted the Abington Police Department.
- 12. Officers from the Abington Police Department arrived shortly thereafter, along with the athletic director and a member of the police shooting team.
- 13. Responding officers took photographs of the bullet and of Plaintiff's wrist, and collected the bullet as evidence.
- 14. A responding officer verbally confirmed that the bullet was from the police shooting range, which had been active at the time of the incident.
- 15. Plaintiff was evaluated that same day at Tower Health Urgent Care and later returned for follow-up on August 14, 2023, due to ongoing pain, swelling, and contusion of his right wrist and forearm.
- 16. On August 25, 2023, Plaintiff was triggered by another gun-related incident at a football game and was seen in the emergency room at Holy Redeemer. Plaintiff has been diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder and continues to suffer from its effects.
- 17. As a result of the shooting incident, Plaintiff has suffered significant physical, emotional, and psychological injuries. Among Plaintiff's diagnosed physical injuries is entrapment of the superficial branch of the radial nerve, an injury for which surgical intervention has been recommended.

## COUNT I – VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (State-Created Danger – Against All Defendants)

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. At all relevant times, Defendants John Doe Police Officers 1–10 were acting under color of state law.

- 20. Defendants' actions created or increased the danger to Plaintiff, who was a foreseeable and specific victim.
- 21. By operating the gun range in close proximity to a public school without adequate safety measures, and discharging a live round that struck Plaintiff, Defendants affirmatively created a danger that did not previously exist.
- 22. Defendants acted with deliberate indifference to the known or obvious consequences of their conduct, which shocks the conscience.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered the physical and emotional injuries described above.
- 24. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for violation of his Fourteenth Amendment right to bodily integrity and personal security.

## COUNT II – VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Municipal Liability under Monell – Against Defendant Abington Township)

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 26. Defendant Abington Township, as a municipal entity, is liable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 because the constitutional violation suffered by Plaintiff resulted from the Township's official policies, customs, and practices.
- 27. Specifically, the Township maintained and operated a police firearm training range in close proximity to a public high school without adequate safety protocols, policies, or supervision to prevent injuries to persons in surrounding areas.
- 28. The Township's failure to properly train, supervise, and discipline its officers regarding the safe operation of the gun range, and its failure to implement or enforce appropriate safety measures, constitute deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights and safety of Plaintiff and others similarly situated.

- 29. Defendant maintained policies, customs, and practices that proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff, including:
  - a. Failing to implement proper safety protocols at the Abington Township

    Police Department gun range, despite its known proximity to a public high
    school;
  - b. Failing to adequately train and supervise officers in range operations to prevent live rounds from exiting the premises;
  - c. Failing to provide clear and appropriate directives regarding community safety and firearm discharge in populated or adjacent areas:
  - d. Failing to adopt or enforce basic engineering controls (e.g., containment walls, ballistic barriers) to prevent escape of live ammunition;
  - e. Failing to conduct thorough investigations of prior firearm safety violations or near-miss incidents involving the gun range;
  - f. Exhibiting deliberate indifference to the safety of foreseeable victims, including Plaintiff, by continuing to operate the range under dangerous conditions: and
  - g. Otherwise acting without due regard for the constitutional rights and physical safety of nearby residents, school personnel, and students.
- 30. The Township knew or should have known that its policies, customs, or practices created an unreasonable risk of harm, yet it failed to take necessary corrective actions.
- 31. Plaintiff further alleges that the Township had knowledge of previous similar incidents or complaints regarding the unsafe operation of the gun range, and despite such knowledge, failed to take adequate remedial measures, thereby establishing a pattern or custom of unconstitutional conduct.

32. As a direct and proximate result of these municipal failures, Plaintiff's constitutional rights were violated, and Plaintiff suffered injury and damages.

## COUNT III – VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Failure to Train and Supervise – Against Defendant Abington Township)

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. Defendant failed to properly train and supervise its officers regarding the safe operation of firearms and use of the police gun range located near a public school.
- 35. This failure created an obvious and substantial risk of harm to third parties in the surrounding community, including Plaintiff.
- 36. Defendant acted with deliberate indifference in failing to implement basic safeguards or ensure safe procedures.
- 37. Plaintiff's constitutional injuries were the direct result of this failure to train and supervise.

## COUNT IV - NEGLIGENCE (Against Defendant Abington Township)

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 39. At all relevant times, Defendant Abington Township owned, operated, maintained, and controlled the police gun range located at 2200 Florey Lane, Abington, PA.
- 40. Defendant owed a duty to operate and supervise its firearm training activities in a manner that ensured the safety of surrounding areas, including Abington Senior High School and its athletic fields.
- 41. Defendant breached that duty by negligently designing, constructing, and maintaining the police gun range in a way that permitted live ammunition to escape the premises, including by:

- a. Failing to install or maintain adequate ballistic containment barriers or berms:
- b. Failing to inspect or assess the gun range's proximity to surrounding public areas, including the school;
- c. Failing to correct known deficiencies or dangerous conditions in the range's physical layout;
- d. Continuing to operate the gun range in an unsafe physical condition despite knowledge of the risks to nearby individuals.
- The dangerous condition of the gun range, as real property under the 42. Township's control, directly caused a live round to exit the range and strike Plaintiff on the adjacent school athletic field.
- Plaintiff's injuries were caused by the Township's negligent care, custody, 43. and control of real property, such that immunity is waived under the real property exception to the Political Subdivision Tort Claims Act, 42 Pa. C.S. § 8542(b)(3).
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff suffered 44. the injuries and damages described herein.

## COUNT V - NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION / FAILURE TO TRAIN (Against Defendant Abington Township)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs. 45.
- Defendant Abington Township failed to adequately train and supervise its 46. officers in the safe use of firearms and operation of the police gun range near a public school.
- This failure resulted in the unlawful discharge of a firearm into a school field 47. and the injury of Plaintiff.

48. Defendant knew or should have known that failure to implement proper safety protocols would likely result in injury to third parties, such as Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendants; award compensatory damages for physical and emotional injuries in an amount in excess of \$150,000; award punitive damages against the individual John Doe Defendants; award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

DION, SOLOMON & SHAPIRO, LLC

BY: /s/ Jeffrey B. Solomon

Jeffrey B. Solomon, Esquire Attorney I.D. No. 34937 Dion, Solomon & Shapiro, LLC 1801 Market Street, Suite 606

Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone: (215) 561-0877

Email: jsolomon@dionsolomon.com

/s/ Kevin P. Proud

Kevin P. Proud, Esquire Attorney I.D. No. 327033 Dion, Solomon & Shapiro, LLC 1801 Market Street, Suite 606 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: (215) 561-0877

Email: kproud@dionsolomon.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Antwone Rodgers

#### **VERIFICATION**

The undersigned, having read the attached pleading, hereby verifies that the within pleading is based on information furnished to counsel, which information has been gathered by counsel in the course of the lawsuit. The language of the pleading is that of counsel and not of signer. Signer verifies that he/she has read the within pleading and that it is true and correct to the best of signer's knowledge, information and belief. To the extent that the contents of the pleading and that of counsel, verifier has relied upon counsel in taking this Verification. This Verification is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Antwone Rodgers

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

## $\hbox{\it CaSe. Se. 225.25-0.0493939} \hbox{\it Chipping this limit of $7.0371/325/25} \hbox{\it Palgage of $2$} \hbox{\it CaSe. Se. 225.25-0.0493939} \hbox{\it CaSe. Se. 225.25-0.04939} \hbox{\it CaSe. 225.25-0.04939} \hbox{\it CaSe$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Antwone Rodgers 1460 Sandys Lane				DEFENDANTS Abington Township 1176 Old York Road						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ad Jeffrey B. Solomo 1801 Market Stre Philadelphia, PA	on, Esquire	)		Attorneys (If Kno	wn)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CIT	TIZENSHIP OF	PRI	NCIPAL	L PARTIES (	Place an "X" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)		For Diversity Cases Or	nly) PTF  1	<b>DEF</b> 1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Priof Business In T		Defendant) PTF  4	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	o of Parties in Item III)		n of Another State	2	_ 2	Incorporated and Poor Business In A		<u> </u>	<u></u>
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	<u></u> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			l ro				for: Nature of S			
CONTRACT  110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENALT  Drug Related Seizure	Y	_	eal 28 USC 158	375 False	Claims Act	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	710 720 740 751 78 790 791	LABOR  Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigatior Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applica Other Immigration Actions		423 With 28 U   INTEL PROPE   820 Copy 830 Pater 835 Pater New   840 Trade   840 Trade   861 HIA 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 865 RSI (   FEDERA 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS-	drawal ISC 157 LLECTUAL RTY RIGHTS  rights  at at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark and Trade Secrets of 2016  LSECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	376 Qui Ta 3729( 400 State I 410 Antitr' 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Depor 470 Racke Corrup 480 Consum (15 U 485 Telepl Protec 490 Cable, 850 Securi Excha 890 Other 891 Agricu 895 Freedd Act 896 Arbitr 899 Admin Act/Rd Agenc 950 Consti	am (31 USG a)) Reapportion ust and Banki herce tation teer Influer of Organiza mer Credit SC 1681 on hone Consu- tion Act Sat TV ties/Comminge Statutory A ultural Acts onmental Mom of Infor	nnment nng nneed and attions ir 1692) nmer nodities/ Actions s fatters mation rrocedure ppeal of
	oved from 3 R	Confinement  Remanded from  Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope	ened And	nsferred		6 Multidistric		Multidis Litigatio	on -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat	ute under which you are	e filing (De	1 1	ecify) I statute:	s unless div	Transfer ersity):		Direct F	ıle
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cat		- ·							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Plaintiff struck by bullet of CHECK IF THIS I UNDER RULE 23	S A CLASS ACTION		MAND \$			HECK YES only i	if demanded i	n complai	
VIII. RELATED CASE( IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE					ET NUMBER			
DATE Jul 31, 2025		SIGNATURE OF ATT /s/ Jeffrey B. Solomor		F RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AMO	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGI	E		MAG. JUD	OGE		

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

  Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.